



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_21052
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Neuronfeedback and drug therapy on ADHD children aged 5 to 14 and its impact on happiness of their mothers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This article is applied research, the idea of such research is excellent however, there are many weakness. Such studies need baseline study for both groups of methphenydate and feedback intervention. Happiness scales was there, I don't know why. The introduction : no aim was mentioned, no clear description of the idea of this paper. No new articles Methodology: Not clear for me including the sampling, ethical consideration, why there were no control group with the other two groups. Results are not clear for me and the discussion also.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This type of study must be restricted to the guidelines of applied research, which was not there	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelaziz Mousa Thabet
Department, University & Country	L Quds University, Palestine