SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJESBS_20367**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The effectiveness of the Mawhiba program for the development of critical thinking skills among gifted female students at the secondary levels**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Ethical issue: As it is an intervention study , Informed consent from the participants can be collected and could be documented in the paper**	
Minor REVISION comments	In Tables 3.A and 3.B, instead of d , kindly set df	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Rgniyd University , Chennai, India**