



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_19741
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evaluation of Computer Engineering ESP Course from the Students Attitudes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. You have grammatical errors. As English is not your native language, I would suggest getting a native speaker who has editing experience. Let him or her read and correct your paper. See lines 4-5, 9-10, 19-20, 54, 56, 60-61, 74, 81, 114-122 (incorrect use of single quotes), 137, 139, 143, 145, 162, 165, 239-240, 262-264, 272-273, 281, 289, and 291. 2. You have spacing issues (See lines 21, 24, 25, 32, 102, 108, 155, 157, 203, 246, 337, 341, 349, 350 352, 361, and 363. 3. You have quotations without closing quotes or references (lines 26-32). 4. Capitalization of names errors (lines 42, 47, 102, 108, and 129. Please clarify the ethical issue: I would to see the questionnaire used. Also, where is the informed consent?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Your ideas are very good but they are clouded by all the errors.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barry Chametzky
Department, University & Country	Ozarks Technical Community College, USA