



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Education, Society &amp; Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJESBS_25818</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Towards Unity not Uniformity in Education</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>SHOULD UNDERGO COMPULSORY REVISION</b></p> <p><b>ABSTRACT AND CONCLUSION –MANDATORY</b></p>	<p>I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT "REVISION" MEANS WITHOUT PROVIDING SPECIFIC AREAS OR CONCEPTS TO BE REVISED. AS A RESULT, I HAD THE PAPER PROOF READED BY AN ENGLISH PROFESSOR (USED AS A PROOF READER IN THE JOURNAL I AM THE EDITOR). THEREFORE AFTER ALL THESE SMALL LANGUAGE CHANGES THE PAPER, I HOPE, IT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED REVISED.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>REVISE THE ENTIRE PAPER</p> <p>ENGLISH PROOF READING IS ESSENTIAL</p>	<p>ENGLISH PROOF READING DONE FOR THE ENTIRE PAPER</p>