



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_23607
Title of the Manuscript:	Decontextualization Effect in Simulation Training for Transverse Professional Practices
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting; However, the author should describe methodological procedures in details so readers will be able to understand the results and findings of this study. It is also necessary that the authors make clear connections between literature review and data analysis, so interpretation of the results can contribute to conclusions regarding the problematic of this study.	§2.1.3 "Protocol" was added. §4.3 "Contextualization vs decontextualization" was added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comment		