



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_23488
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHALLENGES OF AFRICAN UNION (AU) AND THE CRISIS OF UNDERDEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I want to say thank you to the editor of this outlet for giving me an opportunity to review this article. Also, I want to congratulate the write for a job well-done. Having said that, he should kindly note the following observations and comments in order to enrich the work. 1. Please recast the title of this paper to read "African Union and the Challenges of Underdevelopment in Contemporary Africa. 2. Present a brief introduction on the concept of underdevelopment and the roles of continental organisation in development. Narrow it down to the failure of OAU in transforming Africa, which eventually led to the formation of AU. 3. Let us have previous articles on the failure of OAU which AU has not been hitherto address and also indicate dearth of research in such areas, where AU has failed in providing solutions. 4. State your objectives in the second to the last paragraph and give a cogent reason for embarking on this study. 5. Present the organisation of the article in the last chapter. 6. I could not see any problem in your problem statement. Try and adjust this area. 7. Review literature on the emergence of AU and its composition,.	1. Addressed. 2. Addressed. 4. Addressed 5. it is not necessary 6. Addressed. 7. Reviewed.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	8. Present its objectives and achievements so far. emphasise on its short-comings. 9. Combine modernisation with dependence theory in your theoretical insights. Note that modernisation theorists such as Banfield (1958); Rostow (1960); McClelland (1962); Warren (1980) clearly emphasized on the attributes of the population in Africa. Do you think these attributes encourage development? What about the elite class? Should we continue to blame the west when South East Asian countries with similar experience have joined the league of developed nations? You should know from this that dependence theory is no longer relevant in the underdevelopment of Africa in contemporary times. 10. Change your recommendations to suggestions for improvement. 11. Thank you.	8. Addressed. 9. With due respect to the reviewer, I wish to clearly state that dependency theory was a response to the modernization theory, because it failed to explain the historical antecedents that led to the underdevelopment of the third world countries. However, I have addressed the issue of the internal factors in the short comings of the dependency theory. Am not comfortable using two theories in explaining one problem. In addition I felt that the dependency theory despite its short coming it remain a veritable tool that explain the backwardness of the 10. Addressed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author is advised to reduce the length of the paper.	Addressed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should remove irrelevant sections	Addressed.