SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJESBS_21052**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effectiveness of Neuronfeedback and drug therapy on ADHD children aged 5 to 14 and its impact on happiness of their mothers**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**this is a good paper, but needs some editing. I realize English is not their first language- but verbs and grammar and syntax, and commas are needed and paragraphing is needed. The paper needs to flow more fluidly.** **I like the paper but I believe it is too long. The literature review is extensive and overdone. I do think the paper should be published- but it needs editing and needs to be shortened.** **There are no apparent ethical issues, and I see no competing interests.** **Major Revisions/Editing are needed- particularly in fine English points---missing words, commas and sentence structure.**	OK
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		