SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJESBS_21052**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effectiveness of Neuronfeedback and drug therapy on ADHD children aged 5 to 14 and its impact on happiness of their mothers**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This article is applied research, the idea of such research is excellent however, there are many weakness.** **Such studies need baseline study for both groups of methphenydate and feedback intervention.** **Happiness scales was there, I don't know why.** **The introduction : no aim was mentioned, no clear description of the idea of this paper.** **No new articles** **Methodology: Not clear for me including the sampling, ethical consideration, why there were no control group with the other two groups.** **Results are not clear for me and the discussion also.**	OK
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This type of study must be restricted to the guidelines of applied research, which was not there	