



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJESBS_19741
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evaluation of Computer Engineering ESP Course from the Students Attitudes
New Title:	The Evaluation of Computer Engineering ESP Course from Students Attitudes
Type of Article:	Review Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. fix spacing in abstract (a space is needed between were an 132) 2. as cited in does not need a comma (in the Introduction) and fix spaces please in the in-text referencd 3. Typically a comma is needed before the word "which." 4. You aren't consistent with your double quotations and punctuation—sometimes you have ". and sometimes ."	First, I should notice you that the topic was changed for the third time. Moreover, I revised it again, of course a little. I revised it a little.To response to your comments: 1.Yes. You are quite right. 2. Yes. Thank you. You are right. 3. Dear reviewer, you are right, but I may not be able to do so. I give you full authority to do whatever is necessary for its correction. 4. THIS ONE IS TOO as above: I give you full authority to do whatever is necessary for its correction. I would be very much grateful if you correct it for me based on your suggested corrections.