SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_22266**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Internal Control Mechanisms for the Disbursement and Utilization of the District Assemblyâ€™s Common Fund in the Karaga District of Northern Ghana**
Type of the Article	**Case Study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I suggest shortening of the statement of the problem. I also suggest that around the findings certain ideas highlighted need support of statistics or sources of data to transform the ideas from ordinary stories to facts. The author's point of departure needs to be clear so that his new thinking contributes clearly to the older ideas.**	Addition of statistics to support discussions on the Role of Members of Parliament added. Refer to page18 (SN 491-493)
Minor REVISION comments	The writer could attend to areas specified as sense or remove.	
Optional/General comments	n/a	