



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_32298
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN MSE IN POLOSARA DISTRICT OF GANJAM, ODISHA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper must revise for your improvement. The author analyze the female entrepreneurship but he not realize contributions because there is a lack of novelty in your investigation. However, the results obtained are similar, en some aspects to other countries, but the author not provide enough references to support your results. The analysis of female entrepreneurship is a topic very interesting. But, in this paper, is necessary realize some changes for your publication, because there is a incorrect construction of the article. In this sense: - Abstract is very long and the introduction is very short. The abstract, usually, define the theme, the objective and the results in 10 or 12 lines. Thus, the author must modify this. - Introduction is very short. Normally, the introduction, includes the topic approximation, the objective, and describes the parts of the paper. - Literature review must incorporate more references about this issue. For example: ○ Ahl, H. (2006). "Why Research on Women Entrepreneurs Needs New Directions". In: Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 30 (5): 595-621. ○ DeTienne D. & Chandler, G. (2007). "The role of gender in opportunity Identifi cation". En: Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 31(3): 365-386. ○ Green, P. et al (2003). "Women Entrepreneurs: Moving Front and Center: An Overview of Research and Theory". White paper: United States Association for small business and entrepreneurship. In: http://www.cofc.edu/entrepreneurconsortium/resources/re	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	search_women.php. ○ United Nations (2005). Developing women entrepreneurs in South Asia: issues, initiatives and experiences. Economic and Social Commission for Asia and Pacific. ○ Langowitz, N. & Minniti, M. (2007). "The Entrepreneurial Propensity of Women". En: Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 31(3): 341-364. - In the methodology section, the author must specify the period for the research. In this section, one must present the factor analysis, with a brief description . - In the results section, the author must perform a descriptive analysis (included, in the original paper in the section research methodology) and the factor analysis.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The references must be in alphabetical order.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The structure of the article is incorrect. The parts of article should be rewritten and restructured.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>M^a José Portillo Navarro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tax and public finances, University of Murcia, Spain</i>