



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30171
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE
New Title:	WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE IN THRACE REGION TURKEY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author has addressed very little comments raised earlier I think apart from aligning on the earlier comments he/she has to compare/learn from other researches in the body of knowledge. These are some of the links he/she can get help on constructing an abstract to the recommendation: 1. www.flinders.edu.au/sabs/business/research/papers/98-7.doc 2. http://www.enterpriseresearch.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/ERC-White-Paper-No_3-Diversity-final.pdf 3. https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/roles-of-socioeconomic-incentives-towards-sustainable-environmentalconservation-of-kondoa-rehabilitated-rural-areas-dodoma-tanzani-2157-7625-1000210.php?aid=80229 The author could have been taken a trouble of digging more facts from other authors' works. This would have been a useful strategy of being able to construct a very good abstract, good introduction, good materials and methods section, results and finally a very good conclusion and recommendation. Otherwise I don't see any effort of learning and knowledge addition since the author still resubmit the poorly written manuscript. More improvements are needed still.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avit A. Chami
Department, University & Country	Department of Economic Studies, The Mwalimu Nyerere Memorial Academy, Tanzania