SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_30171**
Title of the Manuscript:	**WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE**
New Title:	**WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE IN THRACE REGION TURKEY**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
The author has not well incorporated my comments in the work. I think he/she must be given enough time to work on them. I advised more initiatives to be taken to improve the research article to attain reasonable scientific credibility. In my opinion the article has not qualified to be published. It is weak from the abstract to the conclusion (Weak interpretations of the study results i.e line 231 lacks interpretation of the Logit Model). If at all my comments were well incorporated from the abstracts to the policy implications the work would have been well read. Still the work misses through abstract, introduction, interpretation and policy implications. ***I suggest he author to be given enough time to deeply work on the comments and come out with a good scientific contribution to the body of knowledge.***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Avit A. Chami***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Economic Studies, The Mwalimu Nyerere Memorial Academy, Tanzania***