



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30106
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Derivatives and Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Financial and Non-Financial Firms
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper has been rated in each of the following areas according to its originality, relevance of research tasks, methodology, validity of results, conclusions and overall quality and suitability. The paper is original and presents an important and suitable contribution to economic theory and practice. A brief overview of general knowledge about the problem at the beginning of the investigation gradually introduces the main issue of the paper. It's is original and presents an important and suitable contribution to economic theory and practice. The research results are valid with respect to the relevance of the methodology applied, conclusions and recommendations. Conclusions illustrate the research results and findings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivo Speranda
Department, University & Country	Department of Economics & Business Economics, University of Dubrovnik, Dubrovnik, Croatia