

Original Research Article

Manufacturing Subsector and Economic Growth in Nigeria

Comment [u1]: Since institutions are measured, it should be captured in the topic.

ABSTRACT

Comment [u2]: Conclusion of the paper should also be included in your abstract

The manufacturing subsector has become increasingly important as the engine and driver of economic growth in both developing and developed economies. This study set out to investigate the relationship between manufacturing output and economic growth, and the impact of institutions on manufacturing output in Nigeria. The analysis was conducted using time series data from the period of 1981-2013. To determine the relationship between manufacturing output and economic growth, an eclectic model consisting of both the Kaldor's first law of growth and the endogenous growth model was estimated while a log linear production function of the auto regressive distributed lag model (ARDL) was estimated to investigate the impact of institutions on manufacturing output. Findings from the study showed that manufacturing output, capital and technology were the major determinants of economic growth. The impact of institutions and labour force on manufacturing output in the long and short run periods suggest that increasing manufacturing output will depend on enshrining appropriate institutions that will serve to protect, enforce property rights and contracts. Results also confirms that investment in human capital development through increased resource allocation to research and development in the training of skilled labour force can increase manufacturing output in Nigeria.

Comment [u3]: This should be recast.

Keywords: *Economic Growth, Manufacturing output, Institutions*

1. INTRODUCTION

Industrialization is the core driver of the modern economy. It serves as a catalyst for ensuring the transformation of an economy from one that is purely agrarian to an economy that fully harnesses its factor endowment and relies less on the supply of raw materials and finished goods from external sources. Despite this fact in the last ten years the average contribution of the manufacturing output share of GDP has not exceeded five percent (CBN, 2012). This rather poor performance of the Nigerian manufacturing sector, despite the nation's abundant natural resources and surplus labour has been a deep source of concern for policy makers in Nigeria. Studies have contended that the manufacturing sector does play a leading role in an economy, by providing quality jobs and reducing poverty. It has been further stated that the structural transformation of a traditional economy dominated by primary activities into a modern economy with high productive activities in which manufacturing assumes a prominent role remains a defining feature of economic growth and development (Ogu, 2012; [16] & Szirmai, 2012). The economic blue- print known as vision 2020 maintains that the growth rate of the manufacturing subsector must grow at an average of 25 per cent between the year 2010 – 2015 for the economy to be rated amongst the largest twenty economies in the world by the year 2020 [8]

Comment [u4]: Reverse to APA referencing style by naming the author and ditto for others.

[1], posit that the manufacturing sector is the major determinant of the level of industrialization and real growth of any economy. It plays a vital role in providing intermediate inputs, finished goods, increasing foreign exchange earnings, positive spill over effects and employment opportunities. [8], advanced further that the manufacturing output is the major driver of economic growth in most developing countries. However, most African countries including Nigeria, despite several industrial policies implemented by various policy makers within the pre- structural adjustment programme(SAP) era such as Import

33 Substitution Industrial Strategy (ISI) and the export promotion Strategy implemented in the post- SAP era
 34 and the Small and Medium Enterprise Scheme, have witnessed slow growth. The developmental success
 35 of the East Asian Tigers or the newly industrialized countries (NICs) has be related not necessarily to their
 36 natural resource endowment but to transformation of their manufacturing sector, which has further
 37 translated into rapid economic growth experienced in these countries [3]. However, Nigeria though
 38 endowed with natural resources is still bedevilled with the problems of widespread poverty, low standard
 39 of living and rising unemployment coupled with her over dependency on the oil sector and the drastic
 40 neglect of other sectors such as agriculture, mining, and the manufacturing sector there also exist the
 41 challenge of rather slow technological progress.

Comment [u5]: To be recast and provide source of the information.

42 Given the enormous role the manufacturing subsector is expected to play in the industrialization of the
 43 economy, the question then arise, can the manufacturing subsector be the driver of the much desired
 44 economic growth in the Nigerian economy, taking cognisance of the vital role played by institutions in this
 45 crucial sector of the economy? The aim of this study was to analyse the effect of the manufacturing sector
 46 on the growth of the economy. Specifically this study examined the impact of the manufacturing subsector
 47 on economic growth in Nigeria and the role of institutions in the development of this subsector using
 48 empirical data from the period of 1981- 2013. The rest of the paper is divided into six sections. Section 2
 49 presents literature review, Section 3 focuses on the performance of the manufacturing sector, section 4
 50 handles the methodology, section 5 analyses the estimated result, and section 6 discussion of finding and
 51 section 7 concludes the paper.

Comment [u6]: Should be recast ,to flow from the previous statement.

52 53 54 **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

56 The role of manufacturing subsector in the economy has been the focus of various studies within Nigeria
 57 with some studies asserting the manufacturing and industrial output as the drivers of economic growth
 58 while other studies have refuted these findings. [7], in a study on the impact of industrialization on
 59 economic growth in Nigeria using the VECM methodology, showed that capital/ industrial output ratio and
 60 the labour/ industrial output ratio has a negative impact on per capita GDP. The finding reveal that human
 61 capital, income levels and industrial output have not reached the threshold needed to contribute
 62 reasonable to economic growth. In addition [17], in their study conducted for Nigeria, using time-series
 63 data consisting of annual observations for 28 years on economic growth, manufacturing sector output,
 64 exchange rate, inflation and interest rate observed that the contribution of industrial output to economic
 65 growth was below the expected threshold despite various industrial polices put in place since
 66 independence. This was attributed to inadequate electricity supply. This view was further corroborated by
 67 [23], the causality result further revealed that the contribution of the industrial sector to economic
 68 development was rather poor and far below expectation and this was again attributed to the attendant
 69 problem of poor electricity supply in Nigeria. The findings of [8], on the industrial sector using time series
 70 data, showed that although the share of manufacturing and mining had positive impact on growth of the
 71 real GDP, the share of electricity consumption, skills and dummy as proxy for policy shift showed a
 72 negative relationship with growth in output. The study further revealed that the pioneer industrial scheme
 73 of the 1960's had not resulted in the growth of the industrial sector. Its contribution to GDP remained quite
 74 low, about 26 per cent.

75 Other studies such as [3] ; [13]; and [2], have focused on identifying the problems militating against the
 76 development of the industrial/ manufacturing sector in Nigeria. The problems include poor business
 77 environment which is characterised by poor macroeconomic environment, bureaucratic bottle necks, poor
 78 legal environment this creates property and safety right problem, there is also the problem of weak global
 79 competitive indices, poor and inadequate infrastructure, poor implementation of manufacturing exports
 80 incentives, lack of access to financial credit, technological backwardness, dearth of foreign investment
 81 flow into the manufacturing sector and inadequate demand. In a conceptual analysis [13], also submitted
 82 that the problems plaguing the Nigerian manufacturing sector include: undue dependency on the oil
 83 sector for income, weak infrastructure, and lack of skilled labour, inadequate financial resources / credit
 84 alongside poor management and planning. They stressed that for the manufacturing sector to function

effectively as a strategic propeller of economic growth the problems mentioned above have to be tackled. In a related study conducted for Nigeria on macroeconomic determinants of industrial development [4] observed that the Nigerian government had embarked on several industrial programmes with the goal of boasting industrial productivity but all effort have failed to yield the required positive result. Other researchers, such as [20]; [17]; and [18], have argued that Nigeria's over dependency on oil has been a curse rather than a blessing due to the blatant mismanagement of the revenue from the oil sector which should have been channelled to the simultaneous development of other sectors of the economy such as agriculture, mining and manufacturing. [18], further opined that the volatility of oil prices has produced a distortionary effect on the manufacturing process because manufacturers have been forced to pay more for energy resources which they utilise in the manufacturing process. The utter neglect of the non-oil sector of the economy in favour of its oil sector, which includes the manufacturing sector, has had a detrimental effect on the industrial development of the country. [2], further stated that excessive bureaucracy and rampant corruption have added to the problems faced by Nigerian manufacturing sector. It has also been argued that the skill and technology usage level in Nigeria are at rather low levels in comparison to other nations of the world and very slow progress has been made in the area of investment in human capital and adoption of new technology, thus affecting the efficiency of firms that have little or no investment in the field of research and development.

2.1 Theoretical issues

Various theories have been propounded to describe the relationship between manufacturing output and economic growth. These theories include the Kaldor growth laws, big push theory and variants of the endogenous growth theory. [12], while accounting for the growth rate differences between industrialised economies, presents a series of laws. He further posited that the growth trajectory of developed economies in the post war period is the relationship between industrial growth and the performance of the economy as a whole. This observation is the origin of Kaldor's first law which states that there is a close relationship between the growth of manufacturing output and the growth of the gross domestic product (GDP). This first law is summed up in the expression that the "manufacturing industry is the engine of economic growth". The Linear specification of Kaldor's first law is: $gGDP = a_0 + a_1 gMANU$; where $gGDP$ and $gMANU$ represent the growth of total output and manufacturing output respectively. It is important to note that the correlation between the two variables is not only due to the fact that manufacturing output represents a large component of total output. The regression coefficient is expected to be positive and less than unity. This means that the overall growth rate of the economy is associated with the excess of growth rate of manufacturing output over the growth rate of non-manufacturing output. This means that high growth are usually found in cases where the share of manufacturing industry in GDP is increasing [14]

Another theory on industrial development the "Big Push" theory popularly associated with Rodenstin-Rodan (1968), postulates that a comprehensive programme is needed in form of a high minimum amount of investment to overcome the obstacles to development in an underdeveloped economy and to launch it on the path of progress. The theory further states that bit-by-bit development will not launch the economy successfully on the development path; rather a minimum amount of investment is a necessary condition for this. Successful industrialization of an underdeveloped economy requires a holistic and simultaneous approach. First there must be training of labour on skill acquisition, capacity building, simultaneously infrastructure facilities like good transport system, power and steel, tele-communication system etc. must be developed. Secondly other sectors of the economy like agriculture must be modernised to promote both forward and backward linkages [19]. This assertion is the view of the proponents of the doctrine of the "balanced growth". The theory of balanced growth advocated by Rodenstin-Rodan, Ragnar Nurkse and Arthur Lewis, which states that simultaneous investment in all sectors of the economy, is actually necessary to ensure that all sectors grow in unison because this will ensure economic growth and development. It also means the development of the manufacturing and agriculture sector [11].

Comment [u7]: To be recast

Comment [u8]: The equation should be clearly spelt out and well defined

Comment [u9]: Remove the ambiguity in this statement.

In the mid-1980s, a group of growth theorist became increasingly dissatisfied with the neoclassical growth theory, and began advocating for a theory that favoured endogenous factors as long run determinants of growth instead of exogenous factors. Variants of the model of endogenous growth include the works of Arrow (1962), Romer (1986), and Lucas (1988). The endogenous growth theory advances that economic growth is primarily the result of endogenous and not exogenous (external) forces. The theory further proposes that investment in human capital, innovation and knowledge are significant contributors to economic growth. Focus is on positive externalities in the economy, which will lead to economic growth. The endogenous growth theory primarily holds that the long run growth rate of an economy depends on policy measures such as, subsidies for research and development (R&D), development of human capital in the field of R&D, and appropriate institutions that enforce patent, property right and contracts, promote increase growth rate by increasing the incentive for innovation. The AK model which is the simplest endogenous model assumes a constant exogenous saving rate. It models technological progress with a single parameter (usually A) and further assumes that the production function does not exhibit diminishing returns to scale. The rationale for this assumption has been given to include positive spill overs from capital investment to the economy as a whole or improvements (i.e. learning by doing). However, the endogenous growth theory is further supported by models which optimize the resource allocation to research and development leading to technological progress. (Romer,1990). Proponents of the endogenous growth theory argue that in the absence of technological progress (A), given the assumption of diminishing marginal returns to capital economic growth will eventually decline. Advances in technological progress depend on the quality and strength of institutions that are operational within a particular economic system and this in turn affects the manufacturing output. These institutions which promote contract enforcements, security and property rights are expected to stimulate innovations and ideas, reduce transaction cost, correct government failure and ultimately promote economic growth. [5], describe contract intensive money (CIM) as an objective measure of the quality of institutions that ensure the enforceability of contract and property rights. [6] while analysing the relationship between institution and economic performance utilised the contract intensive money (CIM) as a proxy for measuring institutional quality the study revealed that contract intensive money has a positive relationship with economic growth.

2.1.1 Performance of the Manufacturing Sector in Nigeria

On the importance of manufacturing sector to any economy, the world economic forum in 2013 noted thus "the manufacturing sector not only adds value to the overall economic growth but also creates more jobs than any other sector" [25]. The data in table 1 shows the sectorial contribution of Agriculture and Manufacturing sub – sectors to the nation's gross domestic product (GDP). The contribution of the Agricultural sector of the economy to GDP on the average for the period under review was 40 per cent. The manufacturing sector has over the past ten years performed rather poorly, with the manufacturing sector contribution to GDP on the average approximating a very low single digit of 4 per cent and Capacity utilization on the average was 51 per cent.

Table 1. Nigeria's Sectorial Contribution to the GDP

Year	Share of Agriculture	Share of Manufacturing	Capacity Utilization
1999	43.5	3.5	34.6
2000	42.7	3.5	36.1
2001	42.3	4.1	42.7

Comment [u10]: Remove the line

2002	42.1	3.7	55.0
2003	41.0	3.6	57.0
2004	41.0	3.7	56.1
2005	41.2	3.8	55.0
2006	41.7	4.0	53.3
2007	42.0	4.0	53.4
2008	42.1	4.1	54.0
2009	41.7	4.2	59.0
2010	41.40	4.2	55.8
2011	40.19	4.2	55.1
2012	39.2	4.2	-

Source: Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin Various Issues

3. MODEL AND DATA SPECIFICATION

The period of analysis extends from 1981 to 2013. The econometric approach is multiple regression of time series data. The theory employed to examine the relationship between manufacturing output and economic growth, is the triangulation of Kaldor first law and the endogenous growth theory. On the other hand the theory of production is applied to investigate the relationship between manufacturing output and institutions.

Model I

Kaldor first law states that there exists a close relationship between the growth of the manufacturing output and economic growth.

The linear specification is; $RGDP = f(MANU)$ (1)

Where; RGDP and MANU are the growth of total output which (represents economic growth) and manufacturing output.

The endogenous growth model reveals the essence of technological usage or technical efficiency in kick-starting economic growth with an economy. Technical or technical progress has been argued to depend on the strength of institutions which are the formal and informal constraints on political, economic and social interactions [22].

The Endogenous growth model is of the form

$$Y = AK^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} K^{\beta} \text{..... (2)}$$

Where:

Y = Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) used as proxy for economic growth

A = total factor productivity or the efficiency parameter also called technological progress.

K = capital stock

L = labour.

Assuming symmetry across industries, the same level of capital and labour is utilized by each productive unit or industry. The production function is expressed as;

$$Y = AK^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \text{..... (3)}$$

Where α & β are elasticity coefficient.

It is assumed that A which is the efficiency parameter will depend on both the level of technology and quality of institution in the economy. The quality of institutions can be proxied by contract intensive money (CIM)

$$A = f(\text{Tech and CIM}) \text{..... (4)}$$

Where:

Tech = technology (time variable, one year represents one data point).

Comment [u11]: The heading should be strictly methodology

215 CIM = contract intensive money, calculated as broad money supply minus currency in circulation
 216 divided by broad money supply used as an indicator of institutional quality.

217 Substituting equation 4 into 3

218 $Y = f(\text{TECH}, \text{CIM}, \text{K}, \text{L}) \text{-----} (4)$

219 For Nigeria to achieve sustained economic growth, the manufacturing sector must be willing to invest in
 220 both human and material capital development. Labour force must be trained in the field of research and
 221 development with emphasis on the technology. The model is further transformed, by substituting equation
 222 4 into equation one which is the model of the kaldor's law;

223 $\text{RGDP} = f(\text{MANU}, \text{TECH}, \text{CIM}, \text{K}, \text{L}) \text{-----} (5)$

224 Where:

225 RGDP = Real gross domestic product (RGDP)

226 CIM = Contract Intensive Money

227 MANU =Manufacturing output

228 K= capital proxied by Gross fixed capital formation

229 L= Labour force.

230 A parsimous specification of equation (5) in log form will be estimated for Nigeria; the time series
 231 properties of all variables will be tested to avoid spurious regression results.

232 **Model II**

233 To analyse the effect of Institutions on manufacturing output we use the firm's theory of production and
 234 provide a linear specification of the traditional production function below;

235 $\text{MANU} = f(\text{K}, \text{L}, \text{GFCF}, \text{CIM}, \text{RGDP}) \text{-----}(1)$

236 Normalizing for data variations, the time series properties of the model specified in a log form will be
 237 estimated.

238 $\log \text{MANU} = f(\log \text{K}, \log \text{L}, \log \text{GFCF}, \log \text{CIM}, \log \text{RGDP}) \text{-----}(2)$

239 Where:

240 MANU =Manufacturing output

241 K = capital proxied by Gross fixed capital formation

242 L = Labour force.

243 CIM = Contract Intensive Money as a proxy for institutional quality

244 GFCF = Gross Fixed Capital Formation as proxy for capital

245 RGDP = Real Gross Domestic Product

246

247

248

249 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

250

251 The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests, is used to investigate the characteristics of the time series
 252 variables in model one the results as presented in Table 2, shows that all the series (variables) are
 253 stationary at first difference. That is, the result indicates that the variables RGDP, TECH, CIM, MANU,
 254 GFCF, and LF are integrated of order one – 1(1).Therefore, to confirm and determine the existence of a
 255 long-run relationship among the variables in the equation, a co- integration test was carried out.

256 **Table 2. ADF unit root test**

Variables	ADF Statistics (Computed)		5 % critical Value		Remark
	Level	1 st Difference	Level	1 st Difference	
RGDP	-2.748529	-3.55840	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)
TECH	1.901325	4.901324	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)
CIM	-1.910209	-6.362153	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)

MANU	2.74323	3.324111	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)
GFCF	0.274323	-4.553718	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)
LABF	0.162405	-3.997876	-2.9591	-2.9627	1 (1)

Source: Computation by Authors

The Johansen co-integration test (Table 3) indicates four co-integrating equation(s) at 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels. Since there are four co-integrating vectors, the conclusion can be drawn that there exists a unique long-run relationship between the LOG of real gross domestic products (RGDP) and other explanatory variables captured in the model, LOG(MANU), LOG(LABF), LOG (CIM), LOG(GFCF) and LOG (TECH).

The existence of four co-integrating variables implies that an economic interpretation of the long run impact of manufacturing output on economic growth can be obtained by normalizing the estimates of the unconstrained co-integrating equation(s) on economic growth. The identified co-integrating equation can then be used as an error correction term (ECM) in the error correction model.

Table 3: Johansen Co-integration Test for Economic Growth Model

Series: RGDP MANU LAB KAP CIM TECH

Lags interval: 1 to 1

Eigenvalue	Likelihood Ratio	5 Percent Critical Value	1 Percent Critical Value	Hypothesized No. of CE(s)
0.987935	268.3443	59.46	66.52	None **
0.915428	131.4033	39.89	45.58	At most 1 **
0.680896	54.82861	24.31	29.75	At most 2 **
0.408093	19.41921	12.53	16.31	At most 3 **
0.096988	3.162612	3.84	6.51	At most 4

*(**) denotes the rejection of the hypothesis at 5 %(1%) significance level L.R. test indicates 4 cointegrating equation(S) at 5% significance level.

Source: Computation by Authors

Having established the extent and form of co-integrating relationships between the variables of the model, an over parameterized error correction model was estimated. At this level, the over parameterized model cannot be given any meaningful economic interpretation because it is difficult to determine the optimal lag for the variables on the right hand side of the model; its main function is to enable us identify the main dynamic patterns in the model. To eliminate all insignificant lags, this study adopted the more preferred parsimonious model. (Table 4) shows the result of the parsimonious model.

From (table 4), the value of manufacturing output is positive and conforms to economic theory. This implies that 5 per cent increase in the current year manufacturing output will lead to 3.28 per cent in economic growth (RGDP). Also the coefficient of manufacturing output is significant at 5 per cent.

The current value of labour force has a positive sign and this conform to economic theoretical expectation. The coefficient of labour force is not statistically significant at 5 per cent level. The implication is that a 5 per cent increase in the current year level of labour force will result in a 20.08 per cent rise in the current level of economic growth. The result shows that the activities of the current labour force, has an insignificant effect on the current level of economic growth.

In the same table both current level and the lagged value of capital are positive, but while the current level of capital is significant at 5 per cent, its one year lagged value is not. It follows that an increase in the volume of capital by 5 per cent will increase the volume of economic growth within the economy by 0.36 per cent.

The current year and one year lagged value of institutional quality (Proxied or measured by contract intensive money), have a positive sign and this is in line with economic apriori expectation. The coefficient of current and lagged values of institutional quality, are not statistically significant at 5 per cent level.

Comment [u12]: Year of computation should be added and ditto for others.

Comment [u13]: Remove the bracket,ditto for others.

297 The coefficient of technology is correctly signed and statistically significant at 5 per cent level, implying
 298 that we are 95 per cent confident that a 5 per cent increase in the volume of technology will improve the
 299 level of economic growth by 10 per cent, all things being equal. This reveals that improving the level of
 300 technology especially in the area of accruing technology changing skills (disembodied) skills and
 301 technology – using (embodied) skills in the manufacturing sector will tremendously enhance the level of
 302 economic growth.
 303 The coefficient of the error correction mechanism (ECM) is correctly signed and statistically significant,
 304 this supports our earlier argument that the variables are indeed co-integrated. The ECM shows a
 305 relatively high speed of adjustment (43 per cent) of the short-run and long-run equilibrium behaviour of
 306 gross domestic product (economic growth) and its explanatory variables.
 307 The adjusted R^2 indicates that about 59 per cent of the total variation in economic growth (measured by
 308 real gross domestic product) is explained by changes in the explanatory variables. Thus, the model has a
 309 good fit. The F-statistics (14.06) indicates that all the variables are jointly statistically significant at 5 per
 310 cent level. The Durbin Watson statistics of 1.9 reveals no evidence of serial or auto correlation.

311
312

Table 4 . Parsimonious Model for Economic Growth Model

<i>Dependent Variable: LOG(RGDP)</i>				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LOG(MANU))	0.655574	0.599805	2.092979	0.0001
D(LOG(LAB))	4.015204	20.75995	0.193411	0.8483
D(LOG(KAP))	0.072506	0.911357	1.979559	0.0035
D(LOG(KAP(-1)))	1.183266	0.933620	1.267396	0.2177
D(LOG(CIM))	0.116292	0.202642	0.573882	0.5716
D(LOG(CIM(-1)))	0.269555	0.202414	1.331696	0.1960
D(LOG(TECH)	2.001431	2.578121	2.511003	0.0001
ECM(-1)	-0.425215	1.521017	-3.661135	-0.0000
C	12.96578	0.589953	21.97766	0.0000
R-squared	0.599650	Mean dependent var	13.03013	
Adjusted R-squared	0.586500	S.D. dependent var	1.146663	
S.E. of regression	1.095948	Akaike info criterion	3.238753	
Sum squared resid	27.62537	Schwarz criterion	3.608815	
Log likelihood	42.20068	F-statistic	14.05815	
Durbin-Watson stat	1.954155	Prob(F-statistic)	0.00000	

Source: Computation by Authors

313
314

315 From the Philips –perron unit root result presented in (table 5), all the variables were not stationary at
 316 level. Labour and capital are integrated of order 1(1) while manufacturing output, capital intensive money
 317 and real gross domestic product are integrated of order 1(0). Since the Philips- perron result shows a
 318 mixture of stationary and non- stationary variables, the Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model will
 319 be employed to test for the presence of long run relationship among time series variables.

Table 5. Philip- Perron Unit Root Test for the Manufacturing Output Model

320
321

Variables	Philips-Perron Statistics		5 % critical Value		Remark
	Level	1 st Difference	Level	1 st Difference	
MANU	3.4114	2.9571	-2.9571	-2.9604	1(0)
LAB	0.3211	-4.7115	-2.9571	-2.9604	1(1)
CAP	0.7222	-3.9562	-2.9571	-2.9604	1(1)
CIM	-5.6380	30.4184	-2.9571	-2.9604	1(0)

RGDP	-5.6644	-29.4365	-2.9571	-2.9604	1(0)
------	---------	----------	---------	---------	------

From (table 6) result, the high value of the adjusted R^2 shows that 98 per cent of the variation in manufacturing output can be explained by the independent variables. The LM test suggests that there is no serial correlation because the probability of the observed R^2 value is 74 per cent. The result of the CUSUM test of stability shows that the model is dynamically stable.

Table 6 The Result of the Estimated ARDL Model of Manufacturing Output for Nigeria

Dependent Variable: DLOG(MANU)
Method: Least Squares

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-36.17085	13.82364	-2.616594	0.0203
DLOG(MANU(-1))	-0.555556	0.274485	-2.023994	0.0625
DLOG(MANU(-2))	-0.578397	0.162428	-3.560956	0.0031
DLOG(LAB(-1))	-1.384939	1.670488	-0.829063	0.4210
DLOG(LAB(-2))	-0.974738	1.811076	-0.538209	0.5989
DLOG(CIM(-1))	-0.522778	0.049701	-10.51836	0.0000
DLOG(CIM(-2))	-0.507436	0.033368	-15.20737	0.0000
DLOG(CAP(-1))	0.217553	0.089588	2.428378	0.0292
DLOG(CAP(-2))	0.090553	0.076631	1.181674	0.2570
DLOG(RGDP(-1))	0.000216	0.031068	0.006952	0.9946
DLOG(RGDP(-2))	0.005493	0.018818	0.291887	0.7747
LOG(MANU(-1))	-0.063205	0.211282	-0.299152	0.7692
LOG(LAB(-1))	2.297843	0.796806	2.883816	0.0120
LOG(CIM(-1))	0.508878	0.055905	9.102622	0.0000
LOG(CAP(-1))	-0.246751	0.101683	-2.426671	0.0293
LOG(RGDP(-1))	0.000606	0.034964	0.017344	0.9864
R-squared	0.992055	Mean dependent var	0.120833	
Adjusted R-squared	0.983543	S.D. dependent var	0.437397	
S.E. of regression	0.056111	Akaike info criterion	-2.618449	
Sum squared resid	0.044078	Schwarz criterion	-1.871144	
Log likelihood	55.27674	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-2.379380	
F-statistic	116.5467	Durbin-Watson stat	2.129988	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

The result of the estimated long run relationship (Bound Testing) is derived from the ARDL manufacturing model of Nigeria. Comparing the Wald test F- statistics of 66.22 per cent in (table 7) with the Perseran critical value at 5 per cent when the model is unrestricted and no trend, with a lower bound value of 4.94 and an upper bound value of 5.73 we may reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there exist a long run relationship amongst the five variables namely MANU, LAB, CAP, CIM, and RGDP. The implication of the result is that the level of manufacturing output is influenced in the long run by one year lag of manufacturing output, Labour force, quality of institutions as proxied by (CIM), capital and the level of economic growth.

346 **Table 7 The Wald Test Result.**
347

Wald Test:
Equation: Untitled

Test Statistic	Value	Df	Probability
F-statistic	66.21779	(5, 14)	0.0000
Chi-square	331.0890	5	0.0000

Null Hypothesis: C(12)=C(13)=C(14)=C(15)=C(16)=0

Null Hypothesis Summary:

Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.
C(12)	-0.063205	0.211282
C(13)	2.297843	0.796806
C(14)	0.508878	0.055905
C(15)	-0.246751	0.101683
C(16)	0.000606	0.034964

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

348 The result of (table 8) the ECM derived from the long run model of the Wald Test reveals that one and
349 two year lags of Labour and two year lag of capital intensive money which is the proxy for institution
350 quality are significant determinants of manufacturing output in the short run while lagged values capital
351 and real gross domestic product are not significant determinants of manufacturing output. The implication
352 of this result is that the labour force and quality of institutions are major drivers of manufacturing output in
353 Nigeria consequently deviations in the level of manufacturing output in the short run are significantly
354 driven by changes in labour and the quality of institutions.
355 The value of the error correction term is negative and statistically significant. The value of the coefficient
356 estimate of the error term – 1.17, implies that any disequilibrium of manufacturing output from its long run
357 value is corrected within one year. The LM test suggests that there is no serial correlation because the
358 probability of the observed R2 value is 26 per cent. The result of the CUSUM test of stability shows that
359 the model is dynamically stable.
360

361 **Table 8. Result of the Estimated Short Run Error Correction Model.**
362
363

Dependent Variable: DLOG(MANU)
Method: Least Squares

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.567421	0.149603	-3.792855	0.0013
DLOG(MANU(-1))	0.184423	0.300460	0.613801	0.5470
DLOG(MANU(-2))	0.083902	0.295829	0.283615	0.7799
DLOG(LAB(-1))	8.797583	2.668212	3.297183	0.0040
DLOG(LAB(-2))	14.45839	4.395154	3.289622	0.0041
DLOG(CIM(-1))	-0.033053	0.031690	-1.043010	0.3108
DLOG(CIM(-2))	-0.294731	0.025085	-11.74915	0.0000
DLOG(CAP(-1))	-0.039831	0.171483	-0.232276	0.8189
DLOG(CAP(-2))	-0.041295	0.136140	-0.303324	0.7651
DLOG(RGDP(-1))	0.023803	0.031087	0.765682	0.4538
DLOG(RGDP(-2))	0.039964	0.035053	1.140091	0.2692

ECM(-1)	-1.17E-05	1.74E-06	-6.708087	0.0000
R-squared	0.944048	Mean dependent var	0.120833	
Adjusted R-squared	0.909855	S.D. dependent var	0.437397	
S.E. of regression	0.131325	Akaike info criterion	-0.933109	
Sum squared resid	0.310433	Schwarz criterion	-0.372630	
Log likelihood	25.99663	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-0.753807	
F-statistic	27.60930	Durbin-Watson stat	1.990074	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:

F-statistic	0.765280	Prob. F(2,16)	0.4815
Obs*R-squared	2.619244	Prob. Chi-Square(2)	0.2699

Auto correlation test

3.1 Discussion of Findings

The result of the parsimonious error correction model for economic growth, indicates that three variables; manufacturing output, capital proxy by the gross fixed capital formation and technology are found to exert tremendous effect on economic growth in Nigeria.

The quality of institutions proxy by the contract intensive money is found to be statistically insignificant but consistent with economic theory both at the current and one year lagged values. This show that in Nigeria, the institutions needed to protect contracts and enforce property rights are underdeveloped and inefficient in the performance of their functions, thus making economic agents risk averse towards investment in the economy.

Estimates of labour force and one year lagged value of capital measured by gross fixed capital formation in the model are positive but statistically insignificant, this shows that in Nigeria past levels of capital do not influence real output level in the economy. The result of the labour force estimate is not startling, given that the average school enrolment for primary, secondary and tertiary education levels are 16.8 million, 4.6 million and 1.4 million respectively and essentially the labour force is characterised by the dominance of semi- skilled and unskilled labour [9]. For the labour force to have any significant impact on economic growth there must be increased and continuous investment in human capital development and on research and development (R&D).

The current year capital component of the estimated model is also statistically significant and conforms to economic theory. On the basis of this result, capital is seen as an important factor for continual improvement and development of economic activities in Nigeria. This capital must be channeled towards productive investment such as investment in the manufacturing and not consumption activates.

The parsimonious results show that manufacturing output is statistically significant and its coefficient has the correct a priori sign and is consistent with kaldor's first law of growth. The result strongly highlights the relative importance of manufacturing output in the determination of economic growth in Nigeria. This is because as it increases, it enhances economic growth, ceteris paribus. This result is consistent with the findings of [1] and [8]. Specifically, [1] maintained that the manufacturing sector is the major determinant of the level of industrialization and real growth of any economy.

For the manufacturing sector output to have a significant positive influence on economic growth, there are certain enabling conditions and policies that must be operational within the economy, these include, availability of capital and quality institutions which protect and enforce property rights and contracts, the absence of which will pose a challenge to the effective performance of the manufacturing sector.

The results of the Wald test and the short run error correction model for manufacturing output revealed that the labour force and the quality of institutions significantly influence manufacturing output in Nigeria both in the long run and short run periods, this emphasises the importance of both variables for policy analysis needed for improving the manufacturing sector. There is an urgent need to improve the quality of institutions in Nigeria, because strong institutions are the drivers of technological progress; through the enforcements of contracts, security and property rights. Institutions are expected to motivate innovations

Comment [u14]: Name the authorities as suggested earlier.

and ideas, reduce transaction cost, correct for market failure absence of which will gravely hamper the development of the nation's manufacturing sector and consequently impede economic growth. The results shows that increased funding of research and training of the labour force on how to produce technological goods via expanding the frontiers of adaptation, invention, discovery, and increasing the accumulation of technology changing skills, will not only allow for increased efficiency in the manufacturing sub sector but will further promote long term economic growth.

4. CONCLUSION

This study has attempted to assess the impact of manufacturing subsector on economic growth and the role of institutions in the development of the manufacturing sub sector of the Nigerian economy. The result from the empirical investigation revealed that manufacturing output, capital and technology exert tremendous effect on economic growth in Nigeria, both in the short run and long run. On the other hand quality institutions and a skilled labour force are catalyst for stimulating the rapid development of the manufacturing subsector of the economy in both periods. In view of the foregoing, for the manufacturing sector to be the agent for propelling the much desired economic growth, therefore policy makers must place premium on increasing investment in the field of human capital development, promote the establishment of quality institutions that will protect and enforce contract, patents, security and property rights. Absence of which will greatly hamper the development of innovation and ideas, increase transaction cost, and will eventually lead to a decline in manufacturing output and economic growth in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

1. Adejugbe, M.O.A. Macroeconomic policies and industrial sector: in Iwayemi ed. Macroeconomic policy issues in an open economy, a case of Nigeria- NEMA, Ibadan. 1996
2. Adenikinju, A. and Alaba, O .Energy use and productivity performance in the Nigerian manufacturing Sector (1970- 90). *Centre for econometric and allied research and department of economics*. University of Ibadan: Nigeria. .2000
3. Aderoju, S., Oyefusi and Henry, M. Manufacturing sector in Africa: challenges and prospects. *Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth*. In Udoh E., Ogbuagu U. R. and U. Essia (eds,) Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth. P.N Davision publications, Port Harcourt. . 2011
4. Aiyedogbon,J.O, and Anyanwu, S. Macroeconomic determinats of industrial development in Nigeria. *Nile Journal of Business and Economics*, 2015. 1: 37 – 46. <http://journals.ntu.ng/ojs/njbe>
5. Boschini, A., Petterson, J. and jesper, R. Resource Curse or not: *A question of appropriability*. Stockholm: Stockholm University Press, 2003 12-18.
6. Clague, C., Keefer P., Knack S. and Olson, M. Contract intensive money: Contract enforcement,property rights, and economic performance. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 1995. 4(2), 185-211.
7. Dan, R.U. and Wanjuu L. Z. Impact of industrialization on economic growth in Nigeria. - chapter- 11 Retrieved from www.sjssudus.com/---/64 August 2016
8. Ekpo , A.H. Industrializing a petroleum export- based economy: Nigeria, (1975- 2009). *Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth*. In Udoh E., Ogbuagu U. R. and Essia (eds,) Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth. P.N Davision publications, Port Harcourt. 2011
9. Folawewo A.O. The Informal Labour Market in Developing Countries. Hope publications, Ibadan Nigeria. 2012.
10. Gbosi, A.N. The dynamics of productivity and unemployment News, importations for employment generation in Nigeria. *The Nigerian Economic Society*. Ibadan. 2007.
11. Jhinghan, M. L. Economics of development and planning. Delhi: Vrinda publications. 2007
12. Kaldor, N. Causes of the slow rate of growth of the United Kingdom (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press). 1966.
13. Ku, H., Mustapha U. M. and Goh, S. A literature review of past and present performance of Nigerian manufacturing sector. *Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2010 24 (12), 1894- 1904.

Comment [u15]: Conclusion is missing here.It should be a fallout of the findings.Please provide brief conclusion after line 416.

- 458 14. Libanio, G. Manufacturing industry and economic growth in Latin America: A Kaldorian approach.
459 CEDEPLAR, Brazil: Federal University of Minas Gerais. 2006
- 460 15. Malik, A., Teal, F. and Baptist, S. The performance of Nigerian manufacturing firms: report on the
461 Nigerian manufacturing enterprise survey. *Centre for the study of African economies*. University of Oxford.
462 2004.
- 463 16. Naude, W. and Szirmai, A. The importance of manufacturing in economic development:
464 past present and future perspective, *UNU-MERIT Working Paper Series* no, 2012-2014.
- 465 17. Obadian, T. Nigerian economy at the crossroads. *African Recovery*, 1999 13(1), 8.
- 466 18. Onayemi, T. Nigeria oil: Prices, politics and the people, published in *Nigeria Today*. 2003
467 Available from: [http:// www.nigeriatoday.com/nigeria_oil.htm](http://www.nigeriatoday.com/nigeria_oil.htm).
- 468 19. Ovat, O. O. Do industrial policies promote industrial development in developing countries evidence from
469 Nigeria. *Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth*. In Udoh E., Ogbuagu U. R. and Essia
470 (eds,) *Industrial development: a catalyst for rapid economic growth*. P.N Davision publications, Port Harcourt.
471 2011.
- 472 20. Rankin, N., Soderbom, M. and Teal, G. The Ghanaian manufacturing enterprise survey.
473 *Centre for the study of African economies (CSAE)*; University of Oxford. 2002.
- 474 21. Tomola, M. O., Adebisi, T.E. and Oluwale F. R. K. Bank lending, economic growth and the
475 performance of the manufacturing sector in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*, 2012. 5(3): 19- 35.
- 476 22. Ubi, P.S. and Udah E. B. Corruption, institutional quality and economic performance in an emerging
477 economy: lessons from Nigeria. *British Journal of Economics, Management and Trade*, 2014.4 (11), 1708-
478 1722.
- 479 23. Udah, E. B. Industrial development, electricity crisis and economic performance in
480 Nigeria. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 2010.18, 1450-2887
- 481 24. Uju, O. I. The impact of industrial outputs on the economy of Nigeria. Unpublished research project.
482 Department of Economics, Caritas university, Enugu. 2013.
- 483 25. WEF. Manufacturing sector adds value to economic growth and creates job. *Press Trust Ltd*, India. 2013.
- 484
- 485

Comment [u16]: Referencing style has violated APA style. Use APA for all the references.