

Editor's Comments and Author's Feedback

Minor issues:

(1) The abstract states that 73% of all women work in small non-farm enterprises.

I think this is incorrect. At other places (line 22, table 4.1) authors state that 73% of the workforce in such enterprises are women, which makes more sense and is of course not the same.

(2) In line 21, delete "three million two hundred", which is incorrect and unnecessary. The number "3.2 million" suffices.

(3) line 175 does not specify a probit model, this would be the linear probability model. In a probit model, the left-hand side is not a probability, but a link function of that probability that is defined as the inverse of a normal distribution function. I presume probit models have really been estimated by authors, otherwise, so this is a minor error.

(4) In lines 278 and 281, authors refer to "probability" but the correct word is "p-value".

(5) In line 245, "accessed" should read "access".

(6) In line 383, delete two words, such that it reads "corroborates the finding of [31] that households"

(7) In the abstract, it is not "per capita landing holding" but "per capita ownership of land".

Comment [S1]: This is the statement you are referring to "The results indicate that majority of women (about 73%) are engaged in non-farm enterprises in rural Ghana". This is from my results and I found that 73% of the sampled population are women who are employed in the non-farm sector. Line 22 refers to national statistics.

Comment [S2]: Three million two hundred is deleted.

Comment [S3]: Yes. It is probability model. I could have stated the probit model as $y^* = \dots$

Comment [S4]: I agreed. Probability corrected to p-value.

Comment [S5]: Agreed. Accessed corrected to access.

Comment [S6]: Corrected. The first word finding is replaced with the word result and the word with has been removed. The word who is inserted before found.

Comment [S7]: Corrected. Per capita land holding is changed to per capita ownership of land.