



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_33814
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Non-Farm Micro and Small Enterprise Participation in Rural Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	THE WORK WAS A VERY INTERESTING ARTICLE THAT WILL ADD TO THE BODY OF KNOWLEDGE	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	THE AUTHOR SHOULD CHECK THE REFERENCES ARRANGEMENT TO BE IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER AND ALSO COMPLY WITH THE STYLE OF REFERENCING OF THIS JOURNAL LIKE APA 6 OR ANY OTHER ONE ACCEPTED BY THE JOURNAL.	I do not think it is possible to arrange the references in alphabetical order. This is because the journal requires that numbers are inserted in the text and not citation. The numbers start from 1 to the last and these are arranged in that order in the reference. It is the numbers that are used in the reference and not the names of authors. This is the practice of the journal.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	THE AUTHOR MAY TRY TO GO OVER THE WORK FOR ANY TYPOGRAPHICAL AND GRAMMATICAL ERROR(S)	I have gone over the work and noted that some of the figures in Tables 4.2 and 4.3 have shifted and these issues were addressed.