



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_33814
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Non-Farm Micro and Small Enterprise Participation in Rural Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is a good paper overall. I would like to see a more developed literature review. The exchange rate uses a 1994 figure – why? Please mention research limitations.	Restriction on the number of words will not allow me to add more literature review. I have reached the allowable number of words. The National Board for Small Scale Industries (NBSSI) definition is the official definition used in Ghana. The definition has not been revised since 1994. Limitations of the research. Since the data was not collected by the author, it was difficult to explain some of the reasons behind the figures. Lack of qualitative information to explain some of the context.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		