



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_33579
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT ON PERFORMANCE OF SMEs IN A DEVELOPING ECONOMY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The abstract failed to capture a conclusion. The conclusion of the study should be rewritten. The author only discussed findings. 2. The recommendations of the study should be itemized.	1. This has been included in lines 17 and 18 2. This is done in lines 396 to 404
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Author needs to read through the article to correct some unnecessary agglutinated words. There are many areas that need spacing as indicated in the text. However, the study is a good one, as it has novelty and enhances contribution to knowledge. There is observed authenticity and scientific excellence. The empirical investigation of the impact of the predictors on the performance of SMEs is outstanding.	The works has been read through and all combined words have been corrected.