



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_33021
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring Tabuk Municipal Services Quality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Following are the points which needs correction or modification 1. Spelling needs to be correct. 2. Nothing mentions about questionnaire like what guideline followed during designing of questionnaire, how many question were etc. which is a basic criteria to decide minimum number of respondents. 3. Reasons for using Likert five point scale. 4. Likert scale generally use integer value while here fractional values are considered, why?	Done Please notice, this comment does not exist at the version that we sent first time, which i means if you compare the version that you sent by email to us with the uploaded version at your web site (author centre). Done Explained in the Manuscript Explained in the Manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		