



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_32828
Title of the Manuscript:	COST AND RETURNS ANALYSIS OF COIR PRODUCTS: A CASE Of EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Under the literature review, Line 32 to 38, the study of Varkey's (1980) analysed those factors and what happened? - The researcher did not review any literature on the variables of the study (COST AND RETURNS) The researcher has been using primary data throughout the methodology. Which type of primary data is he using? He used questionnaire, therefore, he should state it instead of primary data. Also, the sample size needs to be stated. Finally, source of the population needs to be stated.	As per Reviewer's comment modified whole article As per Reviewer's comment modified whole article As per Reviewer's comment modified whole article
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- In line 5, there is grammatical error. - From line 6 to 9, the sentence is too long - Line 23 needs reference - Line 32, bad in text reference (V.O. Varkey's (1980) - In line 165, "above table shows" which table is that? Used table name instead of above or below	As per Reviewer's comment modified whole article
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The results are discussed according to the purpose. However, the researcher needs to rewrite the literature review to be in line with the variables in the topic. Also, the methodology and abstract need to be rewrite. Finally, the work needs proof reading to correct all grammatical errors	As per Reviewer's comment modified whole article