



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_32595
Title of the Manuscript:	Working Capital Financing Policy and Firm Profitability: Evidence from Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	a. Conclusion should be separated from the discussions. Recommendations should be added to the abstract	a. Separated b. Added
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	a. In line 13, the definition of working capital policies has no reference. b. Line 19, the doesn't should be changed to "does not" c. Line 31, the reference (Nobanee 2011) should follow the journal guidelines. d. Check the references in line 39 & 41 e. In line 117 & 118, the researcher selected 2009 to 2014 as a period of study, he should justified why that period.	a. Added b. Corrected c. Corrected d. Corrected e. Justification has been given in revised article
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The results are discussed according to the purpose.	Modified