



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_32124
Title of the Manuscript:	Value Chain Analysis of Small Scale Dry Fish Species in Haor Area of Sunamganj District, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Marketing Channels of dry fish in Sunamganj district (fig 1) should be drawn according to write up that has been mentioned its near below Weight wise and price wise distribution of dry fish in marketing channels will give more clarity. Only percentage wise bifurcation is not sufficient to explain product movement in channels	Correction has been made according reviewer comment
Minor REVISION comments	Categorisation of Small and Large fish should be cleared How many fisherwomen have been studied should be mentioned in methodology	Categorisation of Small, medium and Large has been done based on the intermediaries opinion.
Optional/General comments	1. Page No 2, It should be Gross Marketing Margin instead of Marketing Margin 2. Page No 3, it should be dry fish vendor was, it was preferred, dry fish was eaten, there was no regular demand (should not be in present tense) 3. Page No 4, and were collecting, and were selling (should not be in present tense) 4. Page no 4, Column 2, which might be harmful (statement should not be more concrete may create debate) 5. Page No 6, column 1, Buying and selling paragraph, was selling products, were selling their products (should not be in present tense) 6. Page No 6, Column 2, channels did not remain, did grade (should not be in present tense) 7. Page No 7, Wholesaler was usually selling, retailers were selling, dry fish got wasted (should not be in present tense) 8. Page no 7, Pricing paragraph, it may be noted, there was no actual pricing	All the correction has been made



SDI Review Form 1.6

	9. Page No 7, column 2, they sold whole amount of dry fish (should not be in present tense) 10. Page No 8, column 2, mobile phones were (should not be in present tense) 11. Page no 9, Table 7 should be written on top of the table 12. Page no 10, column 1, were incurred, were the main determinants, depended on 13. Page no 10, column 2, was the highest 23.92% Was the lowest buyer 14. Page No 11, Their main cost items were wage (should not be in present tense) 15. Page No 12, Table 11, Gross Marketing Margin instead of Marketing Margin 16. Page No 15, transportation cost was high (should not be in present tense) 17. Page No 16, Check the font size of first line of Limitation of the study	based on reviewer comments.
--	---	-----------------------------