



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_31783
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC HEALTH EXPENDITURE ON LIFE EXPECTANCY IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is interesting. It addresses the relationship between health expenditure and life expectancy. In addition, it also introduces points about environmental factors. Below are the comments: 1. The introduction is well structured. However, the authors must contextualize the research data on this subject. 2. It is necessary into contextualization/revision of the authors, about the type of health coverage. 3. Also present data on public and private health expenditures 4. Monetary values must be dollar-denominated to compare with others studies.	*Already, the research work has been conceptualized under conceptual clarifications via review of related literature. *The type of health coverage for this study is public health expenditure as a titled. *Presentation of data on public and private health expenditure is quite different from this topic of ours. This is another research entirely.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Tables should be constructed. They should not be in the form of software output. 2. The p-value when they are equal to 0.0000 describe as <0.001 3. in the graphs remove the White noise with the use of smoothing technique. 4. The presentation of the results could be more direct. With greater focus on the expectativa relation of life and expenses. Even making projections with the increase and decrease of the expenses.	*The tables has been re-constructed as directed by the reviewers. * Noted *Noted *Action taken, as directed by the reviewers.
Optional/General comments		