



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30840
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of Comparative Advantage and Competitiveness of Textile and Apparel Industry: An Empirical Analysis for China and Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Finding novelty who still unclear; author should add more up to date research to strenghten the research gap; in addition, the author should explore more in the result and discussion	Dear Sir, Please go through 135-148 and 206-245 in the introduction and literature review parts. These two paragraphs explained the existing research gap in our studies area and novelty of the work. Also, please go through from 561-607 in the conclusion and recommendation part. All these parts are rewritten according to your suggestion. Thank you for your valuable comments.
Optional/General comments		