



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30809
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Financial Innovation Drive Growth? Empirical Analysis of the Nigerian Case
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Table 1 - You must discuss what is in table or simply not print it. The same should be done for Figure 1 Table 2 - P-values must be explained. Explain why they are so small Table 3 - Explain large p-values for result of almost 4 + 5. Table 4 - Delete critical values column / explain - P-values Table 4 - Run mult reg again, without high p-value coefficients	Addressed. Effected. Model representation numbers are actually in decimals as can



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 5- Again run model without variable having high p-values Model Representation - reduce number of decimal values.	be observed in from the table and in the representation equation below the table. You do not interfere with result, rather, depending on the nature of data, data may be transformed (either lagged, logged, or differenced) before imputation for analysis.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	check grammar and use of several English terms Use Respons rather than Dependent. Use predictor rather than independent	Noted.