



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30809
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Financial Innovation Drive Growth? Empirical Analysis of the Nigerian Case
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Do the “LFI”, “LATMV”, “LWEBV”, “LMOBV”, and “LPOSV” in Fig. 1 denote “Log(FI)”, “Log(ATMV)”, “Log(WEBV)”, “Log(MOBV)”, and “Log(POSV)”? It seems that Fig. 1 doesn't conform to the data in Table 1.	Yes. L stands for “log” in those instances. Figure 1 conforms with the logged values but with the exception of “Growth” which is not logged since we have it in rates while the regressors are in absolute figure (in billions). This is an Eviews output.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Add units to the data in Table 1.	Effectuated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I don't get used to how the authors cite the references. The authors just list the reference numbers in the article. Suggest adding the authors of these references.	This is the reference/citation pattern recommended by the journal.