



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30613
Title of the Manuscript:	PROSPECTS FOR WORLDS&UKRAINE’SINFORMATION ECONOMY IN CREATING AND IMPROVING SUPERCOMPUTERS BASED OF NBIC-TECHNOLOGIES
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
Abstract: The sentence describing methodology is vague. Write it properly. Line 33: This is what I meant: The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides the methodology, section 3 explains the results and discussion etc. Lines 43-46: I do not see evidence of his correction (by way of his statement in the feedback) in the corrected paper. Line 191: Mathematic?	Abstract: A description of the methodology expanded. More detailed description in the annotation seems unnecessary. Line 33: Yes, of course, this is precisely the structure of the article and submitted. Given that this is a short research paper, we think a brief description of the study methodology here is enough. Lines 43-46: fixes made, the sources indicated Line 191: mathematical support