



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30171
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE
New Title:	WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE IN THRACE REGION TURKEY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author has not well incorporated my comments in the work. I think he/she must be given enough time to work on them. I advised more initiatives to be taken to improve the research article to attain reasonable scientific credibility. In my opinion the article has not qualified to be published. It is weak from the abstract to the conclusion (Weak interpretations of the study results i.e line 231 lacks interpretation of the Logit Model). If at all my comments were well incorporated from the abstracts to the policy implications the work would have been well read. Still the work misses through abstract, introduction, interpretation and policy implications. <i>I suggest he author to be given enough time to deeply work on the comments and come out with a good scientific contribution to the body of knowledge.</i>	Line 166-172