SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_30171**
Title of the Manuscript:	**WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Incorrect construction of the article. The following components should be introduced: a) **Introduction** – should be of a general, introducing nature, presenting the current state of knowledge on the analysed issue, already undertaken research and providing reader with literature review. The Introduction should end with a presentation of the target of the research. The goal should be clear-cut and explicit, such as an indication of factors, which have an influence on the generation of alternative income. Meanwhile, the Author writes: "... this is the first study to analyze the perspective of Thracian women regarding their approach towards alternative economic opportunities". These perspectives are described in the lines: 242-255, but they are nothing more than assumptions, being closer to suppositions rather than to scientific remarks. Apart from the change of the research target, the Author should also rethink the title of the article. b) The second part of the article is the **Material and Method**. Here one should present the data source (official databases, questionnaires, etc.). The Author must specify the period for the research and in a more specific way describe the women sample (age, civil status, material status). In this section, one must present the logit analysis, with a brief description of variable coding mode. The Author should present the variables, which are examined as determinants in the study. They can be shown in the table: how many variables were analysed in the beginning and which were chosen to the final test. Author must reveal the whole modelling procedure. Lines 93-95 contain a reference to the current literature, but the Author does not show the names of authors, titles or websites. Reader can only guess that the Author refers to cited domestic literature. c) After the Material and Methods section, the Author should introduce a section describing the **Income situation of agricultural women in**	A study area was added to the article title. Abstract, introduction, material and method rewised in more detail. The material and method are Statistical results are given with the tables. The data (Women sample) was obtained from Agricultural Farming Registration Systems. Literature was added. The results and the discussion section were rewritten in detail.

	Thrace region. He or she can conduct here the review of the domestic literature. Lines 32-59 and 110-152 can be merged in this section. The text should be rewritten, taking into account the new purpose of research, formulated in Introduction. Additionally: - line 121 is unnecessary, - in lines 118-120 and 122-123 there are no references to presented data - is this official State statistics or results of the Author's research? The same concern refers to the lines 124-126. d) Only then **Research Results** can be presented. Firstly, the Author should describe the basic survey results (percentage of answers), and then he/she can present the logit models. The formal form of models and their statistical significance should be revealed. Finally, the Author may describe the influence of each variable on the independent variable. e) The **Conclusion and Suggestions** should be the last part of the article. On the basis of the literature study and achieved results, the Author can formulate perspectives for Thracian women regarding their approach towards alternative economic opportunities. He/she can also conduct a brief discussion with the results achieved by the other researchers. Currently, in lines 183-241 the Author shows the results of tests, which should be inserted to the previous part of the article (Research Results). These data should be presented in tables. Models should be also described: all the coefficients must be revealed, as well as their statistical significance. 2. There is a lack of the international literature. Bibliography consists of 13 items, but 10 is of the domestic character, what makes the article hard to verify by researchers from the rest of the world. Moreover, the analysed problem is analysed in many publications, i.a.: a) Gender Equality and Sustainable Development (2015), ed. M. Leach, Routledge, London and New York. b) A. Fieldsend (2008): Out of the shadows: making female labour in agriculture visible, Economics and Rural Development, vol. 4, no 2: 16-25 [http://erd.asu.lt/erd/article/view/56]. c) The role of women in agriculture (2011), ESA Working Paper No. 11-02, March [www. fao.org]. d) Women in Agriculture and Rural Areas: Hard Work, Low Profile (2012), EU Agricultural Economic Briefs, Brief no. 7, June [www.ec.europa.eu] –	

	European Union case. e) The Role of Rural Women in Agriculture [www.wfo-oma.com] – Africa case. f) Australian Women in Agriculture [www.awia.org.au] – Australia case. There are also many available publications referring to individual countries, such as India, Pakistan, etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The title suggests an extensive analysis of the women's perspective on organization and the alternative means of income in agriculture. However, starting from the very first paragraph, the article presents mainly the domestic literature, and further it describes only the situation of the Thrace region. The title should underline that this research refers to the Thrace region only. 2. Lines 155-166 have the same contents as lines 216-227. This is unnecessary.	A study area was added to the article title. The literature have been added. The repetition within the article has been avoided particularly line 155-166-216-227 and the article has been reviewed again.
Optional/General comments	The structure of the article is incorrect. The parts of article should be rewritten and restructured. They should be arranged according to a top-down approach, starting from a general description and ending with a detailed examination of the problem. The purpose of this research is unclear. The English literature is almost absent. The data are shown without revealing their source. The logit models are presented without revealing their formal forms and statistical significance of estimated parameters.	Grammar was revised. New literature was added and the logit model was explained in detail.Statistical results are given with the tables.