



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30171
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN'S PERSPECTIVE ON ORGANIZATION AND THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF INCOME IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) This study is interesting and demonstrate a significant finding, however the presentation and the discussion need to be reorganize and rewrite to make it suitable for publication. For example, an abstract needs to articulate clear component s such as objectives/purposes, problem statements, methodology, finding and conclusion. Introduction is too long because inclusive of literature review which should be separated in another subtopic. The material and method used, shall include tables of raw data on total population and the divided population into groups and villages name. (2) The writing has too many paragraph and long sentences. A thorough proofreading is required before any resubmission. (3) The result is not clearly and unorganized in the presentation. The use of table and diagram is highly recommended. The clarity of expression through results' implication is required so that it can contribute to the existing body of knowledge. (4) The statistical results should be more represented to increase reliability and credibility of the finding. For example in discussing logit model, it must stated the statistical package used and it is recommended to include table of raw data and analyzed predictors/variables, and expected statistical terms such as estimated value for	Abstract, introduction, material and method revised in more detail. The material and method are Statistical results are given with the tables. The repetition within the article has been avoided particularly line 155-166-216-227 and the article has been reviewed again. The results and the discussion section were rewritten in detail.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	parameters and standard errors etc. (5) Could include clear interpretation of results under conclusion and recommendation. It should be separated from the long discussion. There are self-repeated sentences. (see repeated sentences line 155-166 = 216-227)	
Minor REVISION comments	(1) In my opinion, the text with bold and italic format used is not suitable for academic writing. (2) Repeated sentences line 155-166 = 216-227	In the text with bold and italic format didn't used.
Optional/General comments	The use of google translate as tools for translation is permissible it should be edit. A thorough proofreading is required.	