



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_26967
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMETRIC MODELING OF INCOME-CONSUMPTION RELATIONSHIP: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The corrected version I have received presents none of the corrections (compulsory or minor corrections) allegedly done by the authors. Not even small issues, like the presence of two sections four in the last paragraph of the introduction, were corrected. <u>Response #5:</u> "ADF was used for the unit test along side other test, it was reported because all other test did not deviate from the ADF results." Ok, but the reader has no information about those results. You should report also the Johansen Cointegration tests results. Realize that the result of an ADF unit root test do not "implies that the condition for cointegration using the Johannsen method was met by the series". We can find conflicting results for these different tests.	We are very sorry; we uploaded a wrong version of our corrected article. Kindly see the newly attached corrected version. As regard the result of other unit root test statistic, we thought it unnecessary to include it initially, but now the Philip Peron test is presented in the appendix. The result of the Johansen cointegration is also reported but presented in the appendix.