



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_27712
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DETERMINANTS OF DOMESTIC PRIVATE SAVINGS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract. 1. The author should summarized the findings in the abstract. For instance the first sentence provided a brief of all the objectives. Hence no need to re-state the specific objectives in the abstract. Causality: the study finds there exist a one directional causality from income to savings at a significant level of 1%. NB. There is no need of providing explanations in abstract. Abstract should be precise and concise. 2. Recommendations in abstract. E.g. The study recommends for a reduction in cost of borrowing in etc.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The discussion of the findings should be supported by the theories of the study and the empirical reviews of the study as well. Such a linkage will add value to the paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is general good and provides reason for the current study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Boadi Eric Kofi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department Accountancy, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, China</i>