



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25381
Title of the Manuscript:	NONOIL EXPORT – GROWTH NEXUS IN NIGERIA :MACROECONOMIC BASE FOR NONOIL EXPORT- LED GROWTH POLICY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are too many explanations about theories. It should be shortened. In the literature review section, one or two paragraph explanation can be enough for each theory, instead of explain pages. Table 2- There should be explanation about p value such as $<.001$ Table 3 font size is smaller than others.	
Minor REVISION comments	Title of Literature Review- There is only one sentence. I suggest to combine with other subtitles "2.1 Theoretical Literature Review" and "2.2. Theories of Economic Growth" together, inside the Title of Literature Review. Title of Research Methodology. I have the same suggestion as above. It is comprising of only one sentence. The Data title can be followed by it. It doesn't need to give explanation with one sentence. Table 1, Table 4 and Table 6 showing in two separate pages. It is not proper. Figure 1 and 2 have no title, above the figure.	
Optional/General comments	In general, there are too many subtitles, sometimes indicated only one or two sentences in the paper. For instance, '4.7. Diagrammatic Representation of Factors'; '4.8. Model Summary'. I prefer to indicate Figure1 or 2 without subtitles. The title above the figure is enough. References were indicated with numbers. Is it proper for the BJEMT? If it is not, it should be changed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaye Acikdilli
Department, University & Country	International Trade Department, Baskent University, Turkey