



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24812
Title of the Manuscript:	BENCHMARKING STUDY OF THE GLOBAL AND UKRAINIAN TRENDS IN NANOTECHNOLOGIES' SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH FOR THE FUTURE NBIC-SOCIETY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 15 to 39 There is no justification on how this study will help the nano-bio-info and cogno society. Why there is need to forecast the new publication emergence by nanotechnologies R&D? If the researcher found that there increase or decrease of new publication emerge how it will contribute to the given countries? The problem is not clearly addressed and not in line with the results and discussion Line 40 to 62: There is no evidence or briefly describe on what model been use. Besides, the statistical test, diagnostic check and forecasting evaluation performance also need to be justified here. How the researcher evaluated the model is fit or not rather than relying too much on the coefficient of determination (R^2)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Table 1 and Table 2 should be in Appendix	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The researcher has done a comprehensive work especially in collecting the data on number of publications, patent and etc. However, the problem is not clearly justified, model is not briefly described and how to ensure the forecasting model is sound. I believe that rather than studying the trend of publication of nanotech and claims that it is important to the given countries, I would be best if researcher measure "The impact of nanotechnologies studies toward the economic development in..." using the panel data analysis. It will help the researcher to identify whether the nanotechnologies studies will give positive or negative impact toward the economic development.	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Aziz Karia
Department, University & Country	Business Management, Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM), Sabah, Malaysia