



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24727
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Investigation of Technical Efficiency of Smallholder Women Farmers in Northern Ghana: Stochastic Frontier Approach.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The method used in the estimation SFA based on Cobb-Douglas production function. Justification of the advantages of using the Cobb-Douglas function will be useful rather than just because it is widely used approach. 2. Why a single step estimation approach is better as compared to two-steps approach in estimating the determinants of inefficiency in this study? Kindly justify. 3. The definition of variables are missing. The used of independent variables (determinants of inefficiencies) are not being justified with the expectation of the results to be obtained.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper studies the efficiency of the women farmers in Northern Ghana and its determinants using the stochastic frontier analysis (SFA). The paper is well-written and justified. Minor revisions are required as above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chan Sok Gee
Department, University & Country	University of Malaya, Malaysia