



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_40008_A
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC AGILITY AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE: EXPLORATION OF THE ONTOLOGICAL, EPISTEMOLOGICAL AND THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion: The conclusion is not adequate. The conclusion should be able to present the total findings with concluding note.	I agree totally with you. I have taken steps to effect just that. The findings based on the purpose of this research work have been outlined for ease of readership and understanding. I cannot but commend your painstaking proof-read.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		