



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30654
Title of the Manuscript:	TRENDS AND PROSPECTS FOR THE CONVERGENCE OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS' FINANCIAL POTENTIAL IN UKRAINIAN REGIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Always start sentences with capital letters. Correct for all areas starting the second line of abstract all through the document -The whole abstract should be written in continuous pros i.e. single paragraph. Avoid headings but write whole of it in a way it can be understood. For instance, instead of conclusions as headings, write, 'the conclusions for this study are that...' -Adopt either APA or Harvard referencing and be consistence all through the document. -For in text citations, you should use the number bracket to stand for both the author and the year i.e. when you mention, 'According to (1), public-private partnership..., ' you mean, 'According to Varnavskiy (2009), public-private partnership...' (NOTE TO THE RESEARCHER: Avoid repeating again the name when using the brackets) -Correct all grammatical and semantic mistakes in your work. i.e. Spelling mistakes like for heading 2 'Metodology' should be revised to 2 'Methodology', 'potencial' in paragraph one of the methodology to 'potential' and all through the document to instill proper understanding of intended meaning -All paragraphs should be phrased in a way not to be less than 4 lines -Check all through the document for grammatical correctness of the information intended to be disseminated. For instance, the paragraph in line 130-131	Note has been corrected. Note is not understandable Articles, which is located on web-site, have headings, in particular, conclusion. Please, specify that it is necessary to correct. Note has been corrected. Note has been corrected. Note has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	does not make sense, it must be rephrased to communicate intended message. The whole document needs to be re-read to eradicate redundancies. The paragraph starting in line 191 suffers similar problems among others -All references in the reference page 8-9 should be revised appropriately to adhere to the consistency of either harvard or the APA referencing style -Some quoted in text citations are missing in the reference page such as: Bobkov, A. Y., Gaiman, A.A., E... among others. Ensure all in text citations appear in the reference page	Note has been corrected. They are as collaborators with Raevneva.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>