



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Economics, Management &amp; Trade</a>                                                                                                                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BJEMT_30476                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>METHOLOGICAL</b> PRINCIPLES OF FORMATION OF TARIFFS FOR RAILWAY (Title not clear, It should be something like:<br><b>(Formation of Methodological Principles for Railway Tariff in Ukraine)</b> ) |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>1) The idea of study is good but there is no review of related literature of railway business in other countries.</p> <p>2) This study has mixed the methodology, Analysis&amp; Interpretation and results &amp;discussion.Strightaway the study jumps into conclusion. The sentence formation in English is so poor that reader has to guess what writer wants to say.</p> <p>(Few Examples: Line 97, 98, 99 lines--But the sector operated by means of government is <b>objectively necessary departure (Does not make any meaningful sentence)</b> from monopolistic competition in which entities set monopolistically high prices that do not meet the <b>opportunities (Wrong use of Opportunities)</b> society.</p> <p>Line 131 3. Methods of the government <b>egulation (should be Regulation)</b></p> <p>Line 133 Today it is sourcing <b>necessary (Its meaning is not clear)</b></p> <p>Line 238 Consideration <b>received (Meaning is not clear)</b>the study results</p> <p>3) When Ukraine Railway Freight/Tariffs is under the scope, then scholar should use certain tariff data of revenue generated or lost but it is a generalised narration of his thoughts. Fact and figures make a study presentable. From the beginning till end,</p> | <p>1) thank you for your recommendations, but the idea of study includes analysis only the situation in Ukraine, so in the future study, we will respect your wishes.</p> <p>2) thank you for your recommendations, changes on these pages addressed by the authors.</p> <p>3) 5) thank you for your recommendations, but regulation of monopolies in Ukraine is the main direction of research, and this article is one of 12 publications on the research topic as a continuation of the previous study, so there is no possibility to repeat and to include information from other articles of authors.</p> <p>4) thank you for your recommendations, I'm sorry You have that impression. We think the article accurately provided and information article will be useful for readers.</p> <p>6) thank you for your recommendations, but in Ukraine necessarily indicate scientists that also study the regulation of monopolies.</p> <p>7) thank you for your recommendations, the CONCLUSION heading was changed</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                         | <p><b>scholar is using MONOPOLY type of tariff business without sufficient support.</b></p> <p><b>4) The sentence formation in English is not satisfactory at all which gives an impression that the scholar does not have sound knowledge of English.</b></p> <p><b>5) Scholar aim is to study the topic scientifically but no table, no data, no data analysis, no statistics of this business is present in this article.</b></p> <p><b>6) In line 56, Scholar is using “Foreign and domestic scientists”; how to tell him that there is no word like “domestic scientist” rather it is “National Scientist”, likewise the word is “International Scientist” not Foreign-Scientist. Also, scholar must remember that in this study, <b>scientists</b> are not required but Analysts/Strategists/domain experts do such type of work.</b></p> <p><b>7) Oh! The English sentences used under the CONCLUSION heading are horrible&amp; meaningless.</b></p> |  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | <p>Any article passes through Introduction→ Review of Related Literature → Research Methodology→ Analysis &amp; Interpretation → Discussion&amp; Results→ Conclusion → Futuristic Scope of Study. There is no evidence that all these major topics have been covered by the scholar,</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |