



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_30476
Title of the Manuscript:	METHOLOGICAL PRINCIPLES OF FORMATION OF TARIFFS FOR RAILWAY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lack of tariffs formulas or equations. English proofreading required. Also, mind the format style in Figure 1 (different font styles) and Title no. 3 from line 131 is misspelled (“[r]egulation”). I suggest expanding references from international research database, especially for establishing equations.	1) «Lack of tariffs formulas or equations» - Thank you very much for your feedback, because the article is more theoretical direction, formulas are not available. 2) «Also, mind the format style in Figure 1 (different font styles)» - Thank you very much for your feedback, format style changed on your recommendation 3) 30 «line 131 is misspelled (“[r]egulation”)» - Thank you very much for your feedback, made adjustments on your recommendation 4) «I suggest expanding references from international research database, especially for establishing equations» - Thank you very much for your feedback, since I cant expanding references from international research database, because we haven't used it
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		