SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_30037**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Assessment of Institutional Governance Restructuring: Examining the Case of SADC**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **Minor** REVISION comments **Optional/General** comments	I appreciate this manuscript, good work. I suggest to make some revisions. 1. Author should add theoretical review of Institutional Governance for State (I think that's different to Corporate Governance). There was confusion understanding of Institutional quality (include Indicators measurement) with Corporate Governance and Institutional Governance. This manuscript present an Institutional Theory was too long but didn't discuss about Institutional Governance. 2. This manuscript requires supporting data to reinforce the discussion of the research finding. For example, Author concludes that an environment (Institutional quality) where there are weak political and regulatory institutions, it's virtually impossible for best practice in Corporate Governance. How Author could proof this conclusion, while there lack supporting data of Corporate Governance Practice. **3.** Author should use the latest reference. This manuscript cited mostly (> 80%) published more than 10 years ago.	1. Literature on institutional governance and institutional governance reforms has been included in the work. (See page 10 and 11). 2. Supporting data from the global competitive index 2015 report has been included (See appendix 3 and 4). 3. An addition of 11 new references has been added to the body of the work. Increasing the references from 64-75.