



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_29495
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANISED CRIME, FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The language requires serious polishing • Did you get quarterly macroeconomic data? (GDP, physical capital, FDI. etc) • As indicated in the method section, you used quarterly data for 33 years and it should give you a total of 132 observations but the descriptive statistics says the number of observation is 56. Why the discrepancy? • Again the average GDP growth is 5.8%. Is it the quarterly growth rate?	The quarterly were got through interpolation using the algorithm. This has been effected at page 4. The observations have been corrected to 132 in the work at page 5. Yes, 5.8 is growth rate based on the quarterly data.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Study design is not research philosophy. The design the author adopted is "Explanatory" • Throughout the report, even in the abstract part, the author, starts with conclusion and write about recommendation. • Figures [like line 49, 50] should be backed by source since they are not findings of the author • Under the introduction part of the report the link between crime and economic growth has been discussed thoroughly but the link between FDI and economic growth, crime and FDI has been weak. • The economic growth model does not have theoretical underpinning. Why those factors? FDI is strongly related with physical capital unless the capital is only domestic which is not mentioned in the report. Exchange rate and interest rate can also affect economic growth through FDI and/or physical capital. • The model (2) is expressed as OLS model rather than ARDL model. It would be better if you include lagged value of the dependent variable, lags of the independent variables and differences to have a proper autoregressive	With lines 49 and 50, the statistics given has a source [3]. Some additional empirical literature was included in the introductory part The theoretical underpinning has been provided in the text. Also, the ARDL representation has been provided.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	model. • The interpretation of the coefficients (elasticities) should be done after normalizing the values by the coefficient of lagged value of the dependent variable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		