



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_28335
Title of the Manuscript:	Capital inflows and macroeconomic dynamics in Nigeria: An empirical review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Do not use abbreviation in abstract also see line 25 where use of 'this' is not suitable ; Check some words to be separated by space; Line 56-61 and 91-109 give reference; Line 111, 116, 126, 137 use of tense needs 's'; Also note that do the whole literature review either in present or past tense; Use full name of variables with abbreviation in parentheses and then you may use abbreviation throughout; Line 201 & 203 no need to write 'equn' just write number; Line 205-208 use 't' in subscript; Revise your headings: Use results and discussion as one heading and conclusion , recommendation and limitations. Very very important thing missing is to compare the results of the present study with the existing literature. This will make the discussion interesting.	All compulsory revision comments have been attended to.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Methodology is appropriate	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author has tried to write article but seems new entrant in the field of research. If so then it is a good effort. So should follow the above suggestions in part 1. Add limitations of the study And compare your results with the existing literature.	All corrections were made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		