



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_28335
Title of the Manuscript:	Capital inflows and macroeconomic dynamics in Nigeria: An empirical review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-The objective of study should be defined clearly 2-What is the contribution of this paper? The author Need to clarify it. 3-This version of the paper needs a proofreading, carefully. 4- There are more than one spaces or no spaces between sentences and letters (especially, in the empirical part of the study) and some notations are missing. These should be corrected. 5- In the Conclusion part, the first letters of some words are written as capital letters. They should be corrected.	I have effected all the compulsory comments / corrections put forward by the reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- The time period of the empirical study should be emphasized. 2- In keywords, the word eviews software should be deleted. 3- The Abstract should be shortened. 4- The type of capital flows used in the empirical part of the study should be defined clearly.	Shortening of the 'Abstract' will remove vital information. However, all minor corrections have been made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		