



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_28214
Title of the Manuscript:	Testing for input separability in the Canadian CATV industry: An application of generalized additive mixed models
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is 2016 now, and why you want to do research related to 1990 – 1996 policy? Does it make sense? Is there any impact for current policy? The author said the result of this study help policymakers make proper decisions for the industry. Then, how to make decision based on the data from 20 years ago? 6-24 when and where to put a “the” or not. Some sentences are too long to understand, it it better to divide them into simple sentences. E.g. 6, regulatory policies; 6-8, too many clauses; 13, normally, scientific paper use cannot, don't, doesn't; 14, check line 38, that is the correct grammar to use however; 14, among data series;19 there should be no “the” before model; 21 to test the separability and complementarity between inputs; 22, “examing” before and after sentence, this is not a complete sentence; 24, should generalize more detail. 40, there should be a “,” after market structure 41 An important concept in production theory is the output elasticity, which is defined as the percentage change....for one percent(there is no space)..... This sentence should be reorganized. I think the author should re-polish the English, cause there are too many vague and repeat words,	Your valuable point is completely well-taken. The CATV is still a heavily regulated industry in Canada. The current data is strictly confidential. Our main purpose is to (i) provide information on how CATV works in Canada, and (ii) introduce GAMMs to the readers of BJEMT and show how it can be applied to various industries. We are still opted to use classical regression analysis but we want to show the BJEMT readers that other alternative methods also exist. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	some sentences should be divided for better clear understanding. 52-56, too long sentence, 53 can have particular policy; 54, because should be the starting of the next part, not the end of the first part. 57 estimate parameters 59 classical regression analysis has been....estimating parameters 60-61 don't understand. the meaning of the "however", looks that there is no sharp turn 61-65 if this is quote from others, this mean it happened before some time, so 61 should be had been. Also change it in the abstract. 67 grammar of however 68 among 76 the correlation 82 from regulated catv firms 108 GAMMs. Here the author use was. However, in lin 97 the author use are. Throughout this paper, the author should identify which one should be used. 113 a brief explanation of GAMMs follows what? 165 if quotes from a previous paper, it should be past states, CRTC had. Modify rest of the paper.	Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done. Done.
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	175-178 too long sentence....Independent variables 188-189 we refer..... Useless sentence 274 is this the new start of a paragraph?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, the paper is like use existing idea to valid a theory, the author wants to calculate data based on a proposed model.	Thank you for your guidance and constructive comments. I completely incorporated all your suggestions onto the final version of the manuscript.