SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_28151**
Title of the Manuscript:	**MODERN APPROACHES TO CLASSIFICATION OF BIOTECHNOLOGY AS A PART OF NBIC-TECHNOLOGIES FOR BIOECONOMY**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the "results and discussion" section of the paper more details should be given on the results. The conclusions made in section 4 "Conclusions", remain a little bit unclear for the reader. There are tables and schemes in section 3, small analysis of the different researches in the field of biotechnologies, but there are no clear conclusions derived. For example the biomedicine and its role should be explained more in detail in section 3 in order to be clearly extracted as authors' contribution. The same is valid also for the other elements (pointed out on page 9) the authors point out as their contribution to biotechnology theory.	We deepened the "results and discussion" section by adding more detailed results, particularly concerning information on our contribution on pages 8-9. Also we expanded Conclusions and linked them closely to the analysis conducted in section 3; in addition, in Conclusions we explained the expediency and utility of our research, established direct ties between the aim and results of the article for readers to have a complete idea of the paper.
Minor REVISION comments	none	
Optional/General comments	The article focuses on an important issue such as the biotechnologies. The authors use wide range of research methods in order to prove their thesis and contribute to the existing studies in the field by further elaborating the scope of application of the biotechnologies. Good English language skills	