



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_28151
Title of the Manuscript:	MODERN APPROACHES TO CLASSIFICATION OF BIOTECHNOLOGY AS A PART OF NBIC-TECHNOLOGIES FOR BIOECONOMY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors use the same text in the Abstract and in Conclusions related to the separation of "white" biotechnology from "grey" one or expediency of "violet" biotechnology in this classification. 2. Conclusions have to be more powerfully connected to the analysis. 3. The authors have to minimise the descriptive approach in the paper and to focused more on their point of view/approach. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have rephrased the text in Conclusions 2. We have expanded Conclusions relying on the analysis in sector "Results and discussion", consolidated features of all the mentioned biotech classifications and highlighted the most developed sectors of biotechnology. In addition, in Conclusions we have pointed out the achieved aim of the article and its utility for further studies. 3. Due to theoretical nature of the article it is quite difficult to escape a descriptive part. We believe that our own contribution expressed by consolidation of biotech typology approaches, upgrade of the input-output matrix and building a hierarchical model of bioeconomy could be supported by analytical description of existing theories.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		