



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_27738
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP GROWTH IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF NIGERIAN ECONOMY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article presents the theme of entrepreneurship that is relevant for both the academy and for practitioners. For the text to be improved are some suggestions: - There are sections that need to be corrected, "Ho: Entrepreneurship growth (development) donot Promotes economic" (59) / "ENTREPRENEURSHIP GROWTH AND ECONOMICDEVELOPMENT" (63) / "(Afolabi, 2015) in his" (153) / "foregoing, (Afolabi, 2015) Concluded "(170) /" can bededuced "(215) /" CONCLUSIONAND "(291). - The literature review as well as the variables need to be strengthened. - The problem of this study is to use the opinion of respondents ... lacking economic data to reject the hypothesis.	ALL SEPERATIONS AND CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE. THANKS FOR THE PROCESS . TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOELEDGE, LITERATURE HAS BEEN STRENGTHENED AND ECONOMIC DATA CONSIDERED WITH TWO WAY CHI SQUARE. THANKS.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		