



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_27712
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DETERMINANTS OF DOMESTIC PRIVATE SAVINGS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The main point I had regarding the article was with the way the variables were picked and the analysis. The author(s) indicated that they will reformulate the model for their precious studies. The problem is that the variables in the model are not appropriate as they are. You do not regress one variable against itself. Again, the interpretation is not done properly; some variables are I(2) which are not amicable for cointgration analysis.	We do not see any error on our choice of variables. We adopted DPS as dependent variable and GDPPC, HHC , INTRT and DCPS as explanatory variables in the study, hence we do not see how our dependent variable was regressed against itself. See Eze and Okpala (2014) and Nwachukwu and Egwaikhide (2007). Again, all our variables are co integrated of the same order (see the result of our unit root test) We wonder if this remark relates to our paper. We have also effected other changes as suggested and highlighted in red on the manuscript returned to us: 1. The missing co integration estimation procedure has been introduced in the work. 2. Equations 19-21 has been expunged as suggested 3. Full version of VECM showing the short and long run dynamics has been reported as suggested 4. Full version of the co integration result has been reported to show the section on long run equation (normalized co integration) 5. Further discussion on the implications of the result has been done especially on the significant variable as suggested.