SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_27712**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE DETERMINANTS OF DOMESTIC PRIVATE SAVINGS IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract.** 1. The author should summarized the findings in the abstract. For instance the first sentence provided a brief of all the objectives. Hence no need to re-state the specific objectives in the abstract. Causality: the study finds there exist a one directional causality from income to savings at a significant level of 1%. NB. There is no need of providing explanations in abstract. Abstract should be precise and concise. 2. Recommendations in abstract. E.g. The study recommends for a reduction in cost of borrowing in …… etc.	All issues raised in the abstract has been addressed and highlighted accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	The discussion of the findings should be supported by the theories of the study and the empirical reviews of the study as well. Such a linkage will add value to the paper.	This has been religiously adhered to as suggested
Optional/General comments	The paper is general good and provides reason for the current study.	Thank you